(c) the time by which it is likely to be implemented?

THE DEPUTY MINISTER IN THE MINISTRY OF COMMUNICATIONS (SHRI P. V. RANGAYYA NAIDU): (a) Yes, Sir.

(b) The requests have been received from the Hon'ble Member of the Lok Sabha during November, 1991 for provision of fax facilities at Bilaspur and Una as also from the Association of Journalists during February, 1992 for Mandi in Himachal Pradesh.

(c) During the year 1992.

Telephone system in Arariya, Bihar

3217. SHRI SUKHDEV PASWAN: Will the Minister of COMMUNICATIONS be pleased to state:

(a) whether the telephone system in Arariya, Bihar is faulty;

(b) if not, the extent to which the capacity of each telephone exchange is being utilisee;

(c) the number or complaints received in this connection during the last two years; and

(d) the action taken by the Government for the smooth functioning of telephone system in the said district?

THE MINISTER IN THE MINISTRY OF COMMUNICATION (SHRI P. V. RANGAYYA NAIDU): (a) to (d). The information is being collected and will be laid on the Table of the House.

Pay Scale of Hindi Translators

3218. SHRI SANTOSH KUMAR GANGWAR: Will the Minister of COMMUNICATIONS be pleased to state:

(a) whether the Hindi translators (Grade–IV) working in the telecommunications department are given the pay scales as per the norms and rules laid down by the official language department; and

(b) if not, the reasons for the disparity and the action being taken by the Government in this regard?

THE DEPUTY MINISTER IN THE MINISTRY OF COMMUNICATIONS (SHRI P. V. RANGAYYA NAIDU): (a) There is no post on Hindi Translator Grade IV in the Department of Telecom.

(b) Does not erase.

Installed Capacity of Beer Production

3219. SHRI SYED SHAHABUDDIN: Will the Minister of FOOD PROCESSING INDUSTRIES be please to state:

(a) the installed capacity of production of beer in the country as on April 1, 1989 and April 1, 1991;

(b) the total quantity of beer manufactured during 1989–90 and 1990–91;

(c) the number of additional unites with their capacity licensed during 1990–91 State–wise; and

(d) the additional number of units and their capacity installed or under installation during 1991–92?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF FOOD PROCESSING INDUSTRIES (SHRI GIRIDHAR GOMANGO): (a) and (b). As per the records available in DGTD, the installed capacity of beer and total quantity of beer manufactured in the

organised sector during the year 1989–90 & 1990–91 was as under:

Year	*Capacity*	*Production*
1989–90	135119	215459 KL
1990–91	135119	223085 KL

(c) Only one Letter of Intent has been granted during April 1990–March 1991 to Shri Rajan Poddar for setting up non–alcoholic beer unit with a capacity of 22,800 KL at District Chittoor (Andhra Pradesh).

(d) Six Letters of Intent for manufacture of beer with total annual installed capacity of 30,000 KL have been issued during 1 April 1991 to 1 March 1992.

Censor of Video Cassettes

3220. SHRI SYED SHAHABUDDIN: Will the Minister of INFORMATION AND BROADCASTING be pleased to state:

(a) whether the Government have prescribed a precentor of video cassettes;

(b) if so, authorities responsible for if;

(c) the guidelines issued by the Government to the authorities concerned in this regard;

(d) whether any video cassettes have been found objectionable during 1991; and

(e) if so, brief particulars of such video cassettes?

THE DEPUTY MINISTER IN THE MINISTRY OF INFORMATION AND BROADCASTING (KUMARI GIRIJA VYAS): (a) and (b). In accordance with the provisions of the Cinematograph Act, 1952, all "films" including Video Films intended for public exhibition in India are required to be certified by the Central Board of Film Certification. Therefore, video films including video news magazines meant for public exhibition have to be presented to the Board for certification.

(c) A copy of the Guidelines is enclosed statement.

(d) and (e). During 1991 only one video film "Tadak Dham Dham" (Hindi) was refused certificate by Central Board of Film Certification. On an appeal filed by the applicant against the order of the Board, it was granted 'U' certificate i.e., for unrestricted public exhibition after ordering some deletions by Film Certification Appellate Tribunal.

STATEMENT

GOVERNMENT OF INDIA

MINISTRY OF INFORMATION AND BROADCASTING.

New Delhi, the 6th December, 1991

NOTIFICATION

S. O. In exercise of the powers conferred by sub–section (2) of section 5B of the Cinematograph Act, 1952 (37 of 1952) and in supersession of the notification on the Government of India in the Ministry of Information and Broadcasting No. S. O. 9 (E), dated the 7th January, 1978 except as respects things done or omitted to be done before such supersession, the Central Government hereby directs that in sanctioning films for public exhibition, the Board of Film Certification shall be guided by the following principles:–

1. The objection of film certification will be to ensure that–